

poorer survival on PD compared with HD, regardless of coronary artery status. The results were not interpreted for age-related differences. The report by Locatelli *et al.*¹³ from Italy was the only registry data study of more than 4000 new patients that after stratifying for age, gender, established CVD and diabetes, and did not reveal any significant difference in survival comparing modalities at least until the follow-up period of 20 months post initiation.

Of particular interest is a retrospective cohort study performed by Panagoutsos *et al.*⁸ in a single centre with a 10-year follow up, which lends support to the concept of 'integrative care'; optimal outcomes are achieved by an initial 'career' in PD followed by a timely transfer to HD when PD-related morbidity increases. This concept sees PD and HD not as mutually exclusive therapies, but complementary to one another, a concept also supported by Blake¹⁷ and Alloatti *et al.*¹⁸ Panagoutsos *et al.*⁸ found that in their 300 patient cohort, those commencing on PD and then transferring to HD (when RRF deteriorated) had a better survival at 5 years than those who stayed on PD. Patients starting and remaining on HD had a similar 5-year survival to those changing modality.

When interpreting this study in the context of the previous studies, there is a survival benefit to commencing renal replacement therapy with PD, particularly if the patient is younger and has limited comorbidities. The survival benefit does disappear between 2–5 years, during which time the patient is either transplanted or discusses a timely change to HD. For the elderly patients with diabetes, or cardiac comorbidities, the survival benefit of commencing with PD therapy is less pronounced and varies according to country.

WHAT DO THE OTHER GUIDELINES SAY?

Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative: No recommendation.

UK Renal Association: No recommendation.

Canadian Society of Nephrology: No recommendation.

European Best Practice Guidelines: These guidelines state that the type of dialysis method that should be favoured as first therapy is unsettled at present. There will be debate regarding this issue until the concept of the 'integrative care approach' (starting renal replacement therapy with PD) gains more scientific merit.

International Guidelines: No recommendation.

SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

More prospective cohort studies are required comparing home dialysis therapies (HD or PD) with hospital-based or satellite HD. A body of evidence is yet to emerge comparing mortality rates of home dialysis therapies – HD and PD, including nocturnal therapies.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Melissa Stanley has no relevant financial affiliations that would cause a conflict of interest according to the conflict of interest statement set down by CARI.

REFERENCES

1. Bloembergen WE, Port FK, Mauger EA *et al.* A comparison of mortality between patients treated with hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 1995; **6**: 177–83.
2. Avram MM, Sreedhara R, Fein P *et al.* Survival on hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis over 12 years with emphasis on nutritional parameters. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 2001; **37**: S77–80.
3. Vonesh EF, Moran J. Mortality in end-stage renal disease: A reassessment of differences between patients treated with hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 1999; **10**: 354–65.
4. Liem YS, Wong JB, Hunink MG *et al.* Comparison of hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis in the Netherlands. *Kidney Int.* 2007; **71**: 153–8.
5. Fenton SSA, Schaubel DE, Desmeules M *et al.* Hemodialysis versus peritoneal dialysis: A comparison of adjusted mortality rates. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 1997; **30**: 334–42.
6. Termorshuizen F, Korevaar JC, Dekker FW *et al.* Hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis: Comparison of adjusted mortality rates according to the duration of dialysis: Analysis of the Netherlands Cooperative Study on the adequacy of dialysis 2. (NECOSAD Group). *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 2003; **14**: 2851–60.
7. Kumar JV, Feith GW, Dekker FW *et al.* Effect of starting with hemodialysis compared with peritoneal dialysis in patients new on dialysis treatment: A randomized controlled trial. NECOSAD Study Group. *Kidney Int.* 2003; **64**: 2222–28.
8. Panagoutsos S, Kantartzi K, Passadakis E *et al.* Timely transfer of peritoneal dialysis patients to hemodialysis improves survival rates. *Clin. Nephrol.* 2006; **65**: 43–7.
9. Harris SAC, Lamping DL, Brown EA *et al.* Clinical outcomes and quality of life in elderly patients on peritoneal dialysis versus hemodialysis. (2001 NTDS Group). *Int. Soc. Perit. Dial.* 2002; **22**: 463–70.
10. Murphy SW, Foley RN, Barre BJ *et al.* Comparative mortality of hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis in Canada. *Kidney Int.* 2000; **57**: 170–6.
11. Jaar BG, Coresh J, Plantinga LC *et al.* Comparing the risk for death with peritoneal dialysis and hemodialysis in a national cohort of patients with chronic kidney disease. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 2005; **143**: 174–83.
12. Heaf JG, Lokkegaard H, Madsen M. Initial survival advantage of peritoneal dialysis relative to hemodialysis. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 2002; **17**: 112–7.
13. Locatelli F, Marcelli D, Conte F *et al.* Survival and development of cardiovascular disease by modality of treatment in patients with end-stage renal disease. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 2001; **12**: 2411–17.
14. Stack AG, Molony DA, Rahman NS *et al.* Impact of dialysis modality on survival of new ESRD patients with congestive heart failure in the United States. *Kidney Int.* 2003; **64**: 1071–9.
15. Ganesh SK, Hulbert-Shearon T, Port FK *et al.* Mortality differences by dialysis modality among incident ESRD patients with and without coronary artery disease. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 2003; **14**: 415–24.
16. Vonesh EF, Snyder JJ, Foley RN *et al.* Mortality studies comparing peritoneal dialysis and hemodialysis: What do they tell us? *Kidney Int. Suppl.* 2006; **70** (103): S3–S11.
17. Blake PG. Integrated end-stage renal disease care: The role of peritoneal dialysis. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 2001; **16**: 61–6.
18. Alloatti S, Manes M, Paternoster G *et al.* Peritoneal dialysis compared with hemodialysis in the treatment of end-stage renal disease. *J. Nephrol.* 2000; **13**: 331–42.

APPENDIX

Table 1 Characteristics of included studies

Study ID (author, year)	Study design	No. of subjects	Outcome
Korevaar <i>et al.</i> 2003 ⁷	RCT	38	Modality mortality After 5 years of follow up, significant longer-term survival favouring PD Adjusted for age, comorbidity and primary kidney disease
Termorshuizen <i>et al.</i> 2003 ⁶	Multicentre prospective, observational cohort of incident patients	1222	Modality mortality Follow up until Tx or death (or 5 years) No statistical differences in mortality in first 2 years, then PD > HD. Subgroup analysis: Patients <60 with DM had increased RR on HD than PD in first 2 years RR for patients >60 higher on PD after 2 years (in perspective of DM status)
Liem <i>et al.</i> 2007 ⁴	Dutch End Stage Renal Disease Registry	16 600 from 47 centres	Modality mortality Not able to adjust for comorbidity Initial survival advantage for PD. Over time, with advancing age and in the presence of DM (as PRD) this survival reverses
Vonesh <i>et al.</i> 1999 ³	US registry data of incident & prevalent patients	203 058	Modality mortality Not adjusted to comorbidity RR (PD vs HD) 1.28 – DM > 50 years (sig.) 0.89 – DM < 50 year (sig.) Females and patients >50 had higher risk of death. DM and <50 years – significantly lower risk of death if on PD
Stack <i>et al.</i> 2003 ¹⁴	USRDS Historical prospective cohort of incident patients	107 922	Modality mortality w/o CHF 2 years of follow up Significantly higher mortality risk with patients on PD and CHF (DM & non-DM). Survival advantage if non-DM and non-CHF on PD at least in the first 6 months
Ganesh <i>et al.</i> 2001 ¹⁵	USRDS historical prospective cohort of incident patients	107 922	Modality mortality w/o CAD 2 years of follow up Underreporting of comorbidities with registry data Survival benefit in first 6 months to PD, lose this at 12 months DM with CAD significantly higher mortality on PD Patients w/o CAD 9% lower mortality on PD. Caution exercised in recommending PD as an initial choice in those with proven CAD whereas either modality recommended if no CAD
Locatelli <i>et al.</i> 2001 ¹³	Lombardy Dialysis and Transplant registry	4000 from 44 units, incident patients	Modality mortality and CVD 4 years of follow up Adjusted for age, gender and DM No statistical difference in the probability of developing CVD on either dialysis modality. No difference in mortality up to an average of 20 months follow up

Table 1 Continued

Study ID (author, year)	Study design	No. of subjects	Outcome
Heaf <i>et al.</i> 2002 ¹²	Danish Terminal Uraemia register	7000 incident and prevalent patients	Modality mortality Except for elderly diabetic patients, PD has a survival advantage in the first 2 years
Panagoutsos <i>et al.</i> 2005 ⁸	Single-centre retrospective cohort of incident patients	299 new patients over 10-year period	Modality mortality and timely transfer Follow up to 10 years Adjusted for Dx dose, co morbidities, age 5-year survival rate of PD-HD transfers >PD, but = HD Patients who start on PD and subsequently transfer to HD had a higher survival rate than those remaining on PD
Murphy <i>et al.</i> 2000 ¹⁰	Prospective cohort of incident patients	822	Modality mortality 2 years of follow up No survival advantage of either therapy when adjusted for comorbidity and severity of illness No Dx adequacy collected
Avram <i>et al.</i> 2001 ²	Single-centre retrospective cohort on prevalent patients	959	Modality mortality Follow up to 12 years Not adjusted for Dx dose or comorbidity HD patients had better survival (44% lower mortality risk)
Harris <i>et al.</i> 2002 ⁹	Prospective cohort study of incident and prevalent patients	174	Modality mortality in elderly No significant modality effect on survival of patients >70 years in 12 months follow up QOL similar for elderly people of PD and HD
Jaar <i>et al.</i> 2005 ¹¹	Prospective cohort of incident patients	1041 from 81 clinics	Modality mortality 7 years of follow up Not adjusted for Dx dose/adequacy No significant modality effect in the first year PD RR>HD after the first year Subgroup analysis No difference with DM or age RR death on PD higher if CVD at start of dialysis but not consistent in all models
Vonesh <i>et al.</i> 2006 ¹⁶	Critical review 4 – US Registry 2 – Non-US Registry 3 – Prospective cohort studies	9 studies	Modality mortality 1. Compared to HD, PD is associated with equivalent or better survival among non-DM patients and younger DM patients. 2. PD has an equal or lower mortality rate during the first 1–2 years and thereafter results vary by subgroup. 3. In the US, HD is associated with better survival among DM patients over 45 which is not observed in other countries (Denmark/Canada). 4. DM, age and comorbidity all significantly modify the effect of treatment modality on survival.